

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,719	04/18/2005	Yasuyoshi Tomoe	. P70462US0 2307	
136 7590 12/31/2007 JACOBSON HOLMAN PLLC			EXAMINER	
400 SEVENTH STREET N.W.			WU, IVES J	
SUITE 600 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•	•	•	1 <b>7</b> 97	
·				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
,			12/31/2007	PAPER -

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/531,719	TOMOE ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Ives Wu	1797		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value of the provision of the pro	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Or</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.			
Disposition of Claims		•		
4)  Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o				
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any accomplicated any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the sheet of the shee	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
A::achment(s)  1  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/07/2005.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal R 6) Other:	ate		

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- (1). Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hart (US05921911) in view of Abe (US04042528) and Cuthbertson (US03700400).

As to a method for removing an acidic gas component from a raw gas comprising a raw gas containing an acidic gas component to an aqueous alkanolamine solution in **independent** claim 1, Hart (US05921911) discloses a method for inhibiting foam formation in alkanolamine systems (Title). The absorber is a high pressure, low temperature vessel in which the acid gas present in the hydrocarbon stream reacts with the aqueous, organic amine and is removed from the hydrocarbon stream (Col. 2, line 9-12).

As to a composition comprising an organopolysiloxane having a polyoxyalkylene group and a fine silica powder in **independent claim 1**, Hart (US05921911) discloses addition of certain non-foaming demulsifiers to the liquid to reduce the foaming and fouling in the stripper (Col. 1, line 66-67, line 61-62). The demulsifiers are selected from the group consisting of alkoxylates of the following: alkylphenols, alkylamines, alkylols and/or polyols with or without cross-linking with aldehyde, di- or multifunctional acids, epoxides and isocyanates. These are selected singly or in combinations such that the overall content of ethylene oxide (EO) is less

than about 50% (Col. 2, line 57-63). Hart **does not teach** organopolysiloxane having a polyoxyalkylene group and fine silica powder as claimed.

However, Abe (US04042528) **teaches** a water-soluble defoaming agents (Title). the defoaming agents are also useful in various fields of chemical technologies. Exemplary are the process of carbon dioxide absorption by alkaline aqueous solution from cracking gases in the petroleum industry (Col. 5, line 18-22). Defoaming agent comprising 20 to 94% by weight of an organopolysiloxane-polyoxyalkylene copolymer 5 to 50% by weight of a polyoxyalkylene glycol derivative, and 1 to 30% by weight of a nonionic surfactant having polyoxyalkylene structure in its molecule (Abstract). The nonionic surface active agents containing oxyalkylene units in their molecule suitable for the purpose are exemplified by polyoxyethylene sorbitan fatty acid esters, polyoxyethylene-polyoxypropylene ethers with higher alcohols (Col. 4, line 34-41). Several defoaming compositions have been proposed, in which the silicones modified were admixed with finely divided inorganic fillers, such as silica (Col. 1, line 27-30). Cuthbertson (US03700400) **teaches** antifoam compositions consisting of silica-containing organopolysiloxane/oxyalkylene copolymers (Abstract, line 1-2).

The advantages of defoaming agent including organopolysiloxane-polyoxyalkylene copolymer are to have excellent properties of solubility in water, durability of anti-foaming activity and stability to alkalinity (Abstract, line 9-11). The addition of finely divided silica is to balance the tradeoff of clouding points and solubility in water and their anti-foaming activities (Abe::US04042528 - Col. 1, line 15-26) and anti-foam activity of siloxane/oxyalkylene copolymers is increased several fold by the incorporation therein of a proportion of a suitable silica (Cuthbertson:: US03700400 - Col. 1, line 46-49).

Therefore, it would have been obvious at time of the invention to add organopolysiloxane – polyoxyalkylene copolymer and fine silica disclosed by Abe, Cuthbertson in the defoaming composition of Hart in order to attain the advantages cited above.

As to the composition optionally added, based on foaming state in a system of removing an acidic gas, from the outside system in **claim 2**, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art to add whenever it is needed.

As to aqueous alkanolamine solution containing the composition of an organopolysiloxane having a polyoxyalkylene group and a fine silica powder in claim 3, Hart (US05921911) discloses the addition of certain non-foaming demulsifiers to the liquid, compressed hydrocarbon stream entering or the aqueous, organic amine stream entering or exiting an absorber of an amine unit will effectively prevent the formation of foam in the amine unit (Col. 1, line 66 – Col. 2, line 3).

As to specific surface area of fine silica powder being 50 m<sup>2</sup>/g or more in **claim 4**, Abe does not disclose the specific surface area of finely divided silica, in the absence of showing criticality of the records, the optimized specific surface area of silica being 50 m<sup>2</sup>/g or more in known process renders obviousness to one of ordinary skills in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 276, 205 USPQ 215, 219 (CCPA 1980). Also evidenced by Cuthbertson (US03700400) that silica may be of surface area from 50 to 500 m<sup>2</sup>/g (Col. 1, line 71 – Col. 2, line 1).

As to composition in amount of 0.1 to 5000 ppm based on aqueous alkanolamine solution in claim 5, Abe (US04042528) discloses, usually, 10 to 500 ppm of the defoaming agent (Col. 5, line 14-15). Hart (US05921911) discloses effective dosage of oil-in-water demulsifiers in alkanolamine systems ranged from about 1 ppm to 10,000 ppm (Col. 3, line 22-24).

(2). Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hart (US05921911) in view of Abe (US04042528) and Cuthbertson (US03700400), further in view of Rooney (WO 00/18493).

As to additive of amine solution for removing an acidic gas, composition of additive comprising an organopolysiloxane having polyoxyalkylene group and a fine silica powder to be present in an amount of 0.1 to 5,000 ppm in **independent claim 6**, the disclosure of Hart, Abe, Cuthbertson is incorporated herein by reference, the most subject matters as currently claimed, have been recited in applicants' claims 1 and 5 and have been discussed therein.

As to aqueous solution containing 40 by mass or more of an alkanolamine in **independent claim 6**, Hart (US05921911) **does not teach** the concentration of aqueous alkanolamine solution as claimed.

However, Rooney (WO 00/18493) **teaches** alkanolamines composition and process for removal of acid gases (Title, Abstract). The alkanolamine of formula I is present in the aqueous solution in an amount effective to remove acidic gases from a fluid stream. When the alkanolamine of formula I is used alone, it is typically present in an amount of from 7 to 50% by weight based on the total weight of the aqueous solution (page 7, line 1-5). The optimal amount of the alkanolamine of formula I will depend on the fluid stream composition, outlet fluid requirement, circulation rate, and energy available for stripping the solvent. A person of ordinary skill in the art would readily determine the optimal amount of the alkanolamine of formula I (page 7, line 11-16).

The advantage of optimal amount of alkanolamine ranged from 7 to 50 wt% is to effectively remove the acidic gases from a fluid stream (page 7, line 1-3).

Therefore, it would have been obvious at time of the invention to use optimal amount of alkanolamine ranged from 7 to 50 wt% disclosed by Rooney for the amine solution of Hart in order to attain the advantage cited above.

As to mixture of 50 to 99% by mass of an organopolysiloxane having a polyoxyalkylene group, represented by formula (1), and 1 to 50% by mass of a fine silica powder having specific area of 50 m<sup>2</sup>/g or more in **claim 7**, Abe (US04042528) disclose the component (i) organopolysiloxane-polyoxyalkylene copolymer to be composed of from 20 to 94 wt% (Col. 4, line 43-46), As shown in the Figure below, which reads on the formula (1) of instant claim by setting X=Y; R<sup>1</sup>:CH<sub>3</sub>; R<sup>2</sup>: -(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>-; R<sup>3</sup>: -CH<sub>3</sub>; m=30, n=4, p=2, q=10.

$$G^{1} = CH_{3} \qquad CH$$

Cuthbertaon (US03700400) discloses, while silica may be present in the compositions in amount up to 10 wt% of siloxane/oxyalkylene copolymer (Col. 1, line 64-66).

Page 6

As to mixture of additive to be composed of 50 to 98% by mass of an organopolysiloxane having a polyoxyalkylene group, represented by formula (1), 1 to 50% by mass of a fine silica powder having a BET specific area of 50 m²/g or more, and 1 to 40% by mass of a nonionic surfactant in **claim 8**, Abe (US04042528) disclose the component (i) organopolysiloxane-polyoxyalkylene copolymer to be composed of from 20 to 94 wt%, component (ii) of nonionic surfactant in an amount from 1 to 30 wt% (Col. 4, line 43-48), Cuthbertaon (US03700400) discloses, while silica may be present in the compositions in amount up to 10 wt% of siloxane/oxyalkylene copolymer. The silica may be of surface area from 50 to 500 m²/g (Col. 1, line 64 – Col. 2, line 1).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ives Wu whose telephone number is 571-272-4245. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on 571-272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Examiner: Ives Wu Art Unit: 1797

Date: December 20, 2007

DUANE SMITH
PREMARY EXAMINER

12-21-07